

## פָּדָה

(For fuller discussion of the lexical field as a whole see the ‘Overview of SAHD entries for “Deliverance” words’ on this site)

### Introduction

Grammatical Type: vb qal, niph, hiph and hoph

Occurrences: Total 58x OT, 2x Sir, 18x Qum, 0x inscr.

Sir 51.2, 12

Qum: CD-A 16.8; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 4.20, 10.32, 35, 11.19; 1Q45 1.2; 4Q171 1-2 ii18; 4Q374 3.2; 4Q504 4.7; 4Q511 36.3; 6Q9 59.1; 11Q5 22.15; 11Q19 54.16, 59.11, 12, 63.6

Text doubtful:

**A.1** 4Q158 7-8.14 [והפ]דה [לע]ם is a passage from the Reworked Pentateuch based on Exodus 21.8. There are large sections of text missing but the context seems to suggest that the reading [והפ]דה is correct.

**A.2** 4Q368 2.12 דָּהַ... from Apocryphon Pentateuch A seems very likely, as the broken context is clearly based on Ex 34 (cf. v. 20).

**A.3** 4Q511 36.3 לפדויים could be either the noun פְּדוּיִם or the pass part of the verb. Since the text is not a legal text, the latter seems more likely.

**B.1** It is proposed in BHS that the qal form תִּפְדֶּה in Nu 18.15, 16, 17 should be emended to the hiph תִּפְדֶּה, since the subject is the priest and the meaning should be ‘let so. redeem’ (cf. Ex 21.8 and Vg here). But MT is retained by BDB, p.804, and Gray (1903, pp. 231, 233) hesitantly accepts the exceptional use of the qal. On the interpretation of MT see Exegesis A.1. In Nu 18.16a פְּדוּיִן is taken by BDB (ibid.) as the pass part of the verb, but the context favours the view of e.g. Gray (1903, p. 231 with ref. to p. 31) that it is from the noun פְּדוּיִם.

**B.2** In Ps 49.8 BHS proposes (after Gunkel 1926, p. 211, and Kraus 1978, p. 517, and followed by Craigie 1984, p. 357) to read niph יִפְדֶּה instead of the qal of MT, against all the versions. But this is consequent upon the emendation of אָחַ at the beginning of the verse to אָחַ, which is scarcely necessary and supported by only a few MSS. The sense given to the niph, ‘redeem oneself’, is also unparalleled.

**B.3** It has been suggested that פְּדָעָהּ in Job 33.24, from the obscure hapax legomenon פָּדַע, should be read פְּדָהּ (e.g. Dhorme 1967, p. 502; BDB, p. 804b). This does, however, involve the assumption of a substantial and unexplained corruption in MT. An easier correction is to read פְּרָעָהּ, with some MSS (so Driver and Gray 1921, pp.248-249).

**B.4** The listing in Abegg 2003 includes 4Q270 2 ii 8 [2x] and ii 9 (from a MS of the Damascus Document) under the verb פָּדַה, but the contexts clearly indicate that the noun פְּדוּיִם is intended here, and this is how the forms are analysed in DJD XVIII, pp. 145-146.

Qere/Ketiv: none

### 1. Root and Comparative Material

**A.1** In Biblical Hebrew the related nouns פְּדוּיִם (ransom - ‘means of liberation’), פְּדוּת (‘[action or power of] redemption’) and פְּדוּיּוֹן (‘ransom price of human life’) occur, and the first two are also found at Qumran (see the separate entries). The root also appears 15x in Biblical personal names: פְּדָה־יְהוָה ‘Yahweh has liberated’ 1Chr 27.20, פְּדָה־יְהוָה 2Kgs 23.36; 1Chr 3.18, 19; Neh 3.25, 8.4, 11.7, פְּדָה־אֵל ‘El has liberated’ Nu 34.28, פְּדוּן ‘ransom’ Ezr 2.44; Neh 7.47, פְּדָה־צוּר ‘the Rock has liberated’ Nu 1.10, 2.20, 7.54, 59, 10.23, as well as in epigraphic PNs (Davies 1991, pp. 469-470; 2004, pp. 208-209).

**A.2** פְּדָה is common to all the other Semitic languages except for Aramaic, although there is a possible case in the Hermopolis papyri (Hoftijzer/Jongeling, 1995, p.902).

**A.3** In Arabic, the cognate of פְּדָה is *fadā*, which means ‘to redeem’ by the payment of something of equivalent value, with a substantive meaning ‘ransom’.

**A.4** There is in Ethiopic the verb *fdy*, ‘release (debt), repay, restore, reward’ and the noun *fdyt* ‘repayment’ (Dillmann 1865, cols 1378-80) and in Old S. Arabian the verb *fdy*, ‘buy, redeem (debt), acquire’ (Beeston 1982, p. 43: cf. Conti Rossini 1931, p. 217b, who adds the noun *fdyt*, ‘purchase’).

**A.5** In Akkadian there is the vb *padû* / *pedû*, meaning ‘to spare/release’ (von Soden 1965-81, 808b). There is also the PN *ilī-ipdianni* ‘my god has spared/freed me’, and the form *pi-di-šû* occurs in a prayer to Ishtar to ‘deliver him from the jaws of destruction’ (Lambert 1959-60, 53, l. 163). In Enuma Elish VII.29 *padû* refers to the release of rebellious gods from their service to other gods, following the creation of humans (cf. parallel in VI.34 *wašāru* ‘to release’).

**A.6** In Ugaritic, the vb. *pdy* seems to bear the same legal sense of ‘to redeem’, in the legal document text RS 16.191 + 272 = KTU 3.4.2, 12. In this passage, a man named *Iwrkl* ‘redeems’ seven people from the hand of the people of Beirut (*birtym*) and will hold their estate until he can be repaid. Yaron writes: “*pdy*, like its Hebrew equivalents *padah* and *ga’al*, does not mean ‘to set free’, ‘to manumit’, but ‘to redeem’, ‘to ransom’... It will always refer to a three-cornered situation, involving apart from the redeemer and the person redeemed, also the person from whose power (or the place whence) the redeemed is ransomed.” (Yaron 1960, p.84). Stamm, however, questions whether this is the only use of *pdy* in Ugaritic, because of the existence of personal names such as *pdy* (*pa-di-ya*) and *bn-pdy* (*bin-pi-di-ya*) (del Olmo Lete and Sanmartin 2004, p. 664; but for them the etymology is ‘uncertain’). If these correspond to the Hebrew פְּדָה, it could mean ‘liberate’ as well as ‘redeem’. The personal name *pdy* is possibly of the *qatīl* type ‘redeemer/liberator’ or ‘redeemed/liberated’ rather than ‘he (the god) has freed/delivered’ (Stamm 1976, col. 390).

**A.7** In Punic there is the PN *b’lpd’*, ‘Baal freed/redeemed him’ (Benz 1972, pp. 97, 389 [under PDY/W, with further references]), which seems to correspond to the theophorous names in Ancient Hebrew. This may also be true of the Philistine (hypocoristic: Phoenician?) PN borne by a king of Ekron, *pdy*, now attested not only in a transcription into Akkadian (ANET, pp. 287-88) but in two inscriptions from the site (Gitin, Dotan and Naveh 1997; Gitin and Cogan 1999).

## 2. Formal characteristics

Lamedh-He verb, trilateral root.

## 3. Syntagmatics

**A.1** The human subject of פדה qal may be:

a) in a cultic context ‘you’ 2ms of Moses commanding the people (Ex 13.13[3x]), ‘you’ 2ms of the LORD commanding Moses (34.20[3x]), ‘I’ 1s of the people speaking (Ex 13.15), ‘you’ 2ms of the LORD commanding Aaron (Nu 18.15[3x], 16, 17), 3ms subj unspecified but איש understood (Lev 27.27), איש ‘someone’ (CD-A 16.8).

b) in legal and quasi-legal contexts הָעָם ‘the people’ (1Sam 14.45), אִישׁ / אָח ‘man’ (Ps 49.8, see Text Uncertain B.2 above).

**A.2** The direct objects following פדה qal in cultic and legal contexts are: כָּל־פֶּטֶר חֹמֶר ‘every first-born donkey’ (Ex 13.13; 34.20), כָּל בְּכוֹר אָדָם בְּבָנָיָהּ / בְּכוֹר בְּנָיָהּ ‘every first-born of your sons’ (Ex 13.13,15; 34.20), בְּכוֹר־הַבְּהֵמָה הַטְּמֵאָה ‘unclean animal’ (Lev 27.27), בְּכוֹר־הַבְּהֵמָה הַטְּמֵאָה ‘first-born of unclean animals’ (Nu 18.15), בְּכוֹר־שׂוֹר ... כְּשֵׂב ... יַעֲזוּ ‘first-born cow... sheep... goat’ (Nu 18.17), יוֹנָתָן ‘Jonathan’ (1Sam 14.45) and אִישׁ / אָח ‘man’ i.e. human life (Ps 49.8), שְׂבוּעַת אִסּוּר ‘a binding oath’ (CD-A 16.8).

**A.3** The prepositions used in these cases with פדה qal are: בְּ ‘with’ + שֶׁהָ ‘sheep’ (Ex 13.13, 34.20), בְּ ‘at’ + עֲרֵךְ ‘assessment’ (Lev 27.27; Nu 18.16).

**A.4** פדה qal is also used with a divine subject:

a) in the first person when יהוה is speaking (Jer 15.21; Hos 7.13; 13.14; Mic 6.4; Zech 10.8; 11Q19 59.11, 12).

b) in the second person referring to יהוה (Dt 9.26; 21.8; Ps 31.6; 71.23; Neh 1.10; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.32, 35; 11Q19 63.6), אֲדוֹנָי ‘Lord’ (1QH<sup>a</sup> 11.19) and in petitions to אֱלֹהִים / יהוה (Ps 25.22; 26.11; 44.27; 69.19; 119.134; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 4.20; 4Q504 4.7) and in a hypothetical petition (Job 6.23); subject unspecified (text missing), but presumably God (1Q45 1.2).

c) in the third person יהוה אֱלֹהֶיךָ : ‘the Lord your God’ (Dt 15.15; 24.18), יהוה (Dt 7.8; 13.6; 2Sam 4.9; 1Kgs 1.29; Isa 29.22; Jer 31.11; Job 5.20; 33.28; Ps 34.23; 55.19; 78.42; 130.8), אֱלֹהֶיךָ (2Sam 7.23[2x]; Ps 49.16; 1Chr 17.21[2x]), אֱלֹהֶיךָ ‘your God’ (11Q19 54.16), אֱלֹהִים ‘God’ (4Q171 1-2 ii 18).

**A.5** In these contexts, פדה qal takes as direct objects: עָם ‘people’ (2Sam 7.23[2x] // 1Chr 17.21[2x]), 2ms sf referring to עַמִּי ‘my people’ (Mic 6.4), יִשְׂרָאֵל Israel (Ps 25.22), 2ms sf ‘you’ [Israelites] (Dt 7.8; 13.6; 15.15; 24.18), 3mpl sf ‘them’ [Israelites] (Ps 78.42; 11Q19 59.11, 12), יַעֲקֹב ‘Jacob’ (Jer 31.11), 3mpl sf referring to Ephraim (Hos 7.13; 13.14; Zech 10.8), עַמִּי וְיִשְׂרָאֵל ‘your people and your possession’ (Dt 9.26), עַמִּי וְיִשְׂרָאֵל / עַמִּי וְיִשְׂרָאֵל ‘your people Israel’ (Dt 21.8; 11Q19 63.6), עַבְדֶּיךָ וְעַמִּי ‘your servants and your people’ (Neh 1.10), 1pl sf ‘us’ (Ps 44.27); אַבְרָהָם ‘Abraham’ (Isa 29.22), 2ms sf referring to Jeremiah (Jer 15.21), 1s sf ‘me’ referring to Job (Job 6.23), 2ms sf referring to Job (Job 5.20), 1s sf ‘me’ referring to the Psalmist (Ps 26.11; 31.6; 69.19; 119.134); נַפְשׁ ‘soul/life’ (2Sam 4.9 // 1Kgs 1.29; Ps 49.16; 55.19; 71.23; Job 33.28; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.35; 11.19), נַפְשׁ עַבְדֶּיךָ ‘life of his servants’ (Ps 34.23), נַפְשׁ אֲבוֹנִים ‘life of the poor person’ (1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.32); 1pl sf ‘us’ [unspecified] (4Q504 4.7), 3mpl sf ‘them’ referring to כֹּהֵן וְאֲנָשֵׁי עֲצַתוֹ ‘the priest and the men of his council’ (4Q171 1-2 ii 18), object unspecified / text missing (1Q45 1.2; 4Q374 3.2).

**A.6** פדה qal with a divine subject takes the following prepositions: בְּ ‘with’ + גְּדֻלָּתְךָ ‘your (Yahweh’s) greatness’ (Dt 9.26), + כֹּחַךָ גְּדוֹל וּבְרָדְךָ הַחֲזָקָה ‘your [Yahweh’s] great strength and your mighty power’ (Neh 1.10), + שְׁלוֹמִי ‘peace’/‘safety’ (Ps 55.19), לְ ‘as’ + עָם ‘people’ (2Sam 7.23), לְמַעַן ‘for the sake of’/‘because of’ + חַסְדְּךָ ‘your kindness/mercy’ (Ps 44.27), + אֲבִיבֵי ‘my enemies’ (Ps 69.19), מִן (of separation) ‘from’ + בַּיִת עַבְדִּים ‘house of slavery’ (Dt 7.8; 13.6; Mic 6.4; 11Q19 54.16), + שָׁמָּה ‘there’ [Egypt] (Dt 24.18), + צָרָה ‘adversity’ (2Sam 4.9; 1Kgs 1.29; Ps 78.42), + מִצְרַיִם ‘Egypt’ (2Sam 7.23=1Chr 17.21), + מוֹת ‘death’ (Job 5.20), + יְדִישׁוֹל ‘power of

Sheol' (Hos 13.14; Ps 49.16), + כְּרִיעַרְצִים 'grasp of the ruthless' (Jer 15.21; Job 6.23), צָרוֹת 'troubles' (Ps 25.22), + קָרַב 'battle' (Ps 55.19), + כָּל עֲוֹנוֹתָיו 'all its [Israel's] iniquities' (Ps 130.8), + עֲשָׂק אָדָם 'human oppression' (Ps 119.134), + יְדֵי חֶרֶב 'power of the sword' (Job 5.20), + עָבַר בְּשִׁטָּה 'from going down in the pit' (Job 33.28), + יַד אֲדִירִים 'hand of the powerful' (1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.35), + שִׁחַת 'pit' (1QH<sup>a</sup> 11.19), + שְׂאוֹל אַבְדּוֹן 'Sheol of Abaddon' (1QH<sup>a</sup> 11.19), + כַּף שׁוֹנְאֵיהֶמָּה 'the hand of those who hate them' (11Q19 59.11), + יָדָם 'their hand' (4Q171 1-2 ii 18).

**A.7** qal act ptc occurs in Qumran as a substantive פוֹדֵד 'your redeemer' as a title for God when it is the object of the verb שָׁבַח 'to praise' (11Q5 22.15).

**A.8** qal pass ptc also occurs as a substantive פְּדוּיִים 'those redeemed' and is the subject of the verbs שׁוּב 'to return', בּוֹא 'to come' (Isa 35.10=51.11). It is also in a construct relationship with יהוה Isa 35.10=51.11. In 4Q511 36.3 the context is largely lost, but the nature of the text makes it more likely that לְפְדוּיִים is the qal pass part than a noun = 'ransom-price' (see Text Doubtful A.3).

**A.9** The human subject of niph is מְוִיָּה אֶשֶׁר יִקְרָם מְוִיָּה אֶדָם 'anyone committed to the ban' in a cultic context (Lev 27.29), שִׁפְחָה 'slave-girl' in a socio-legal context, with the only instance of a hoph infin. abs. (Lev 19.20) and צִיּוֹן 'Zion' in an eschatological context (Isa 1.27) with the preposition בְּ 'with' [means] + מִשְׁפָּט 'justice' and צְדָקָה 'righteousness' (Isa 1.27). These examples imply that the meaning of the niph was always passive (so BDB): Ps 49.8 (see Text Doubtful B.2) would be exceptional if it were a reflexive niph.

**A.10** The human subject of hiph is אֲדוֹן 'master' (Ex 21.8) and its object is 3fs sf 'her' referring to a man's daughter sold as a slave (Ex 21.8). A similar instance is found at 4Q158 7-8.14 although a large amount of text is missing. The subject and object are assumed to be the same.

## 4. Versions

### a. LXX

qal - ἀλλάσσω (Ex 13.13 [2x]; Lev 27.27)

λυτρόω middle: (Ex 13.13, 15; 34.20[3x]; Nu 18.15, 17; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18; 2Sam 4.9; 7.23[2x]; 1Kgs 1.29; Jer 31.11; Hos 7.13; Mic 6.4; Zech 10.8; Ps 25.22; 26.11; 31.6; 34.23; 44.27; 49.8[2x], 16; 55.19; 71.23; 78.42; 119.134; 130.8; Neh 1.10; 1Chr 17.21[2x]; Sir 51.2)

λυτρόω passive: (Nu 18.15)

λύτρον pl. (Nu 18.15)

ρύομαι middle: (Hos 13.14; Ps 69.19; Job 5.20; 6.23)

σώζω (Job 33.28; Sir 51.12)

ἀφορίζω (Isa 29.22)

συνηγμένος (Isa 35.10)

λελυτρωμένος (Isa 51.11)

προσεύχομαι (1Sam 14.45)

niph – λυτρόω passive: (Lev 19.20; 27.29)

σώζω passive: (Isa 1.27)

hiph – ἀπολυτρόω (Ex 21.8)

hoph - λύτρον pl.: (Lev 19.20)

[no equivalent] (Nu 18.16; Jer 15.21)

**A.1** The main difference between the active form of λυτρόω / ἀπολυτρόω and the middle voice is that the former is used of the person releasing someone, i.e. ‘to release on receipt of ransom’ (as in Ex 21.8), while the latter describes the action of someone providing the means for another’s release, i.e. ‘to release by payment of ransom, redeem’ (LS p.1067a), which is the most frequently used form used by the LXX to translate פדה.

**A.2** It is interesting to note that among the other Hebrew verbs translated by λυτρόω and ῥύομαι (‘to set free, redeem, deliver’; ‘to protect’, LS p. 694) are those defined by Sawyer as the semantic field of עֲשִׂיָהּ, namely נצל (hiph), גלח (pi), מלט (pi), פלט (pi), and פצה.

**A.3** The use of the noun λύτρον pl. in Lev 19.20 and Nu 18.15 - it is usually used in plural in Gk – represents the inf abs in a common Septuagintal idiom (Thackeray 1908; Sollamo 1985).

**A.4** λυτρόω is used across the whole range of literature, bearing no distinction between socio-legal, cultic or religious contexts. ῥύομαι however, is only used outside of the technical legal and cultic contexts.

**A.5** ἀφορίζω + ἐξ in Isa 29.22 is slightly unusual; it appears to correspond to פדה + מן, but carries the idea of appropriating something, or marking it off as one’s own, which is closer to the meaning of פרק, פלה or פרה. The use of ἀλλάσσω marks out cases where substitution rather than payment is involved.

**A.6** Isa 35.10 is a parallel to Isa 51.11 and yet is rendered very differently in the LXX, in a very free translation, bearing little resemblance to the MT.

**A.7** προσεύχομαι in 1Sam 14.45 is not a translation of פדה. It is either a mistake on the translator’s part, or evidence of a different Hebrew *Vorlage*, presumably ויפלל (Smith 1904, p.125).

Aquila [given according to the most recent authorities, even where there may be suspicion about the attribution]

λυτρόω passive (Isa 1.27)

λυτρόω middle (Isa 29.22[?]; Jer 15.21)

*redimo* (Ex 13.13 [2x])

Symmachus [given according to the most recent authorities, even where there may be suspicion about the attribution]

ῥύομαι (2Sam 4.9; Ps 78.42)

λυτρόω passive (Isa 1.27)

λυτρόω middle (Isa 29.22; Jer 15.21; Ps 49.8 [2x]; 71.23)

Theodotion [given according to the most recent authorities, even where there may be suspicion about the attribution]

λυτρόω passive (Isa 1.27)

λυτρόω middle (Isa 29.22[?]; Jer 15.21)

*redimo* (Ex 13.13 [2x])

Other

ἄλλος (Symmachus?)

ῥύομαι (Ps 44.27)

[*sine nomine*]

λυτρόω middle (Lev 27.27)

**A.1** The strongest tendency among the Three is to eliminate other renderings in favour of λυτρόω.

**A.2** Symmachus, however, has ῥύομαι in two, possibly three, non-technical instances where LXX has λυτρόω, thus preferring the less specialised equivalent.

### **b. Peshitta**

qal - *prq* (Ex 13.13[3x], 15; 21.8; 34.20[3x]; Lev 19.20 [2x]; 27.27, 29; Nu 18.15 [3x], 16, 17; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18; 1Sam 14.45; 2Sam 4.9; 7.23[2x]; 1Kg 1.29; Isa 29.22; 35.10 = 51.11; Jer 15.21; 31.11; Hos 7.13; 13.14; Mic 6.4; Zech 10.8; Ps 25.22; 26.11; 31.6; 34.23; 44.27; 49.8, 16; 69.19; 71.23; 78.42; 119.134; 130.8; Job 5.20; 33.28; Neh 1.10; 1Chr 17.21)

*ps* ' (Ps 55.19; Job 6.23)

*šwzb* (Sir 51.12)

*npq* (1Chr 17.21 - text paraphrased)

niph - *prq* (Lev 19.20; 27.29; Isa 1.27)

hiph - *prq* (Ex 21.8)

hoph - *prq* (Lev 19.20)

**A.1** There is nothing unusual about the choice of *prq* in the Peshitta, as it is the most common verb used to translate other words in this semantic field, along with *ps* ' and *šwzb*.

### **c. Targum**

#### **TgO**

פרק (Ex 13.13[3x], 15; 21.8; 34.20[3x]; Lev 19.20; 27.27, 29; Nu 18.15[3x], 16, 17; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18)

#### **TgJon**

פרק (1Sam 14.45; 2Sam 4.9; 7.23; 1Kgs 1.29; Isa 1.27; 29.22; 50.2; Jer 15.21; 31.11; Hos 7.13; 13.14; Mic 6.4; Zech 10.8)

(Subst) פריק (Isa 35.10 = 51.11)

#### **TgNeo**

פרק (Ex 13.13[3x], 15; 21.8; 34.20[3x]; Lev 19.20; 27.27, 29; Nu 18.15[3x], 17; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18)

#### **TgPsJon**

פרק (Ex 13.13[3x], 15; 21.8; 34.20[3x]; Lev 19.20; 27.27, 29; Nu 18.15[3x], 17; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18)

#### **TgFrg MS Vatican Ebr. 440, Folios 198-227**

פרק (Lev 27.27, 29)

### **TgPsa**

פרק (Ps 25.22; 26.11; 31.6; 34.23; 44.27; 49.8[2x], 16; 55.19; 69.19; 71.23; 78.42; 119.134; 130.8)

### **TgJob [Stec 1994]**

פרק (Job 5.20; 6.23; 33.28)

### **TgJobQum**

פרק (Job 33.28)

### **TgChr**

פרק (1Chr 17.21 [2x])

**A.1** Twice when פדה follows another ‘salvation’ word, e.g. Isa 51.11; Jer 15.21, it is translated by פרק, and שיזב is used to translate גאל or נצל hiph. But in Lev 27 (esp. vv. 27-29) and Isa 35.10-11 פרק is used to render both נצל and פדה.

#### **d. Vulgate**

qal –

*redimo* (Ex 13.13[2x], 15; 34.20[2x]; Lev 27.27; Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 21.8; 2Sam 7.23[2x]; 29.22; 35.10 = 51.11; Jer 15.21; 31.11; Hos 7.13; Zech 10.8; Ps 25.22; 26.11; 31.6; 34.23; 44.27; 49.8[2x], 16; 55.19; 71.23; 78.42; 119.134; 130.8; Neh 1.10)

*muto* (Ex 13.13)

*pretium do* (Ex 34.20)

*redimi facio* (Nu 18.15, 17)

*pretium accipio* (Nu 18.15)

*libero* (Dt 15.15; 1Sam 14.45; Mic 6.4; Ps 25.22 LXX, 69.19; Job 33.28; 1Chr 17.21[2x]; Sir 51.2, 12)

*eruo* (Dt 24.18; 2Sam 4.9; 1Kgs 1.29; Job 5.20; 6.23)

*eripio* (Ps 69.19 LXX)

[no equivalent] (Nu 18.16)

niph –

*redimo* (Lev 19.20; 27.29; Isa 1.27)

hiph –

*dimitto* (Ex 21.8)

hoph –

*pretium* (Lev 19.20)

**A.1** In addition to *redimo* (its most common equivalent) the Vg uses a range of verbs to translate פדה, some of which emphasise an exchange in the ransom, e.g. *muto*, *pretium do/accipio* (similar to ἀλλάσσω, ἀπολυτρόω, in the LXX) and others which give a general sense of liberation and rescue from danger, e.g. *eruo*, *libero*.

**A.2** In Lev 19.20 Vg uses a noun to render the Heb. inf abs (cf. LXX A.3). In Nu 18.15 *pretium* also corresponds to an inf abs, but the verb *accipio* is used instead of *redimo* in accordance with Vg’s recognition that in vv. 15-17 the subject of פדה is not the worshipper but

the priest. It is perhaps in order to avoid a further unusual translation that in v.16 Vg has no separate rendering of תפדה.

## 5. Lexical / Semantic Field(s)

**A.1** Verbs found in parallelism with פדה are גאל 'to rescue' (Jer 31.11, Hos 13.14), נצל hiph 'to deliver' (Jer 15.21), pi מלט 'to deliver' (Job 6.23, Sir 51.12), יצא hiph 'to lead out' (Dt 7.8, 13.6), עלה hiph 'to bring up/out' (Mic 6.4, 1QH<sup>a</sup> 11.19), ישע hiph 'to save' (11Q19 59.11).

**A.2** A number of different verbs and phrases are associated with פדה.

- a) נתן חפשה נתן 'to give freedom' (Lev 19.20) is partially opposed to פדה, reinforcing the idea that פדה involves a ransom price, as distinct from what is freely given. This is also supported by נתן כפר 'to give a price' in Ps 49.8 (cf. A.4).
- b) Other 'salvation' words from the semantic field occur in close proximity to פדה, namely גאל 'to redeem' (Lev 27.27, Ps 69.19) and נצל hiph 'to deliver' (Isa 50.2, Sir 51.2, 1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.32).
- c) In the context of the Exodus rescue יצא hiph – to lead out (Dt 9.26) also occurs alongside פדה.
- d) Other verbs used in close proximity with פדה are חנן 'to be gracious' (Ps 26.11), עזר 'to help' (Ps 44.27, 1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.35), לקח 'to take/receive' (Ps 49.16), קבץ 'to gather' (Zech 10.8), חשך 'to hold back' (Sir 51.2), רבה hiph 'to multiply' (11Q19 59.12), יצא hiph 'to lead out' (11Q19 54.16), סלה 'to forgive/pardon' (4Q504 4.7), טהר pi (4Q511 36.3).

**A.3** The title of God associated with פדה in 11Q5 22.15 is עליון 'the Most High'.

**A.4** פדה also occurs in opposition to זבה 'to sacrifice' in Ex 13.15. The first-born which are not sacrificed are redeemed, using פדה to make the contrast of life and death. מכר is also used in opposition to פדה hiph in Ex 21.8, contrasting 'selling' in the context of slavery with 'redemption' into freedom (compare the opposition of מכר and גאל in Isa 52.3).

## 6. Exegesis

**A.1** פדה (qal/niph) 'to set free from death or slavery' (with a ransom price or substitution) occurs just a few times in *cultic contexts* and is only used of animate objects. The occurrences fall into two groups, in which the second introduces an exception to one provision of the first:

a) פדה (qal) occurs several times in God's commandments to Moses (Ex 13.13[3x], 15; 34.20) and in the priestly laws (Nu 18.15, 17) concerning the consecration of first-born to God, cf. also 4Q270 2 ii 8-9. These laws are said to have been instituted to remember God's deliverance of the Israelites from Egypt by slaying all the first-born of the Egyptians, but there was provision for redemption of certain offerings. The first-born of an ass may be redeemed with a lamb (Ex 13.13; 34.20), and likewise every human first-born, although no means of redemption are made explicit (Ex 13.13, 15; 34.20; Nu 18.15). Nu 18.15 also adds that the first-born of an unclean animal may be redeemed, and the price (פדיון) is five shekels (Nu 18.16). Nu 18.17 is a prohibition against the redemption of first-born cows, sheep and goats, for they are holy. פדה in these contexts means 'to liberate from death by means of a payment'.

b) In Lev 27.27, פדה (qal/niph) occurs in parallel with גאל, concerning an unclean animal which may be ransomed at its assessment. Milgrom seems to make an unnecessary distinction here between פדה and גאל (2001, p.2390). The basis of his argument is that since all first-born

belong to God, גאל would be inappropriate, whereas פדה can be used of redemption by a ‘third party’. However, if this were an important consideration, why would גאל follow in the subsequent clause rather than פדה? It seems more likely that פדה is used primarily because of its associations with laws concerning the first-born (see above). In Lev 27.29, לֹא יִפְדֶּה is used in a similar way to reinforce the prohibition (לֹא־יִגְאֹל) of the previous verse, that humans devoted to the ban may not be redeemed. The wider context for this meaning of פדה may be that of buying or re-claiming people or animals offered to God, which is also the semantic ‘territory’ of גאל.

**A.2** פדה (niph/hiph/hoph) occurs in a *socio-legal context* in Ex 21.8 (cf. 4Q158 7-8.14) and Lev 19.20. The first concerns a slave-girl who displeases her master and commands that the man should let her be redeemed (פדה hiph). The second uses פדה niph in conjunction with hoph (emphatic infin absol) to describe a slave-girl who has not been ransomed. The person who might redeem her is unspecified, which Stamm suggests may be the reason for the choice of פדה rather than גאל (1976, p. 392). In the previous example (Ex 21.8), however, it seems clear that it would be the girl’s own family who would redeem her (her master is not allowed to sell her to עַם נָכְרִי ‘a foreign people’). Perhaps, as in the case of Lev 27.27 (above), פדה denotes here a particular kind of ransom used in slavery laws, overlapping with גאל -redemption.

**A.3** פדה (qal) occurs in a quasi-legal context in 1Sam 14.45, where the people ‘ransom’ Jonathan’s life from the curse of death which Saul had made (14.24), although there is no mention of how he was ransomed.

**A.4** פדה (qal) ‘to set free without payment’ (God as subject) is used in the context of *past deliverance* (2Sam 4.9; 1Kgs 1.29; Isa 29.22; Ps 55.19; 71.23; Job 33.28), particularly referring to the Exodus (Dt 7.8; 9.26; 13.6; 15.15; 21.8; 24.18; 2Sam 7.23=1Chr 17.21; Mic 6.4; Ps 78.42; Neh 1.10; 11Q19 54.16). A significant proportion of these occur with מן (see below). There are two verses which suggest the means of God’s redemption, neither of which refer to any kind of payment, but rather God’s own greatness and power (Dt 9.26; Neh 1.10).

Hill (1967, p.54) warns against the tendency of some scholars to force in the idea of God paying a ransom price when he is the subject of גאל or פדה by explaining the cost in terms of God’s power, love or self-sacrifice. He suggests that this is just an example of Christian apologetics leading to inaccurate OT theological exegesis. However, in studies of פדה, it is precisely this lack of ransom-price or exchange which is used to distinguish between the human and divine usage of פדה (Stamm 1976, col. 396). God does not give anything in exchange when he redeems his people, he always ransoms ‘by grace’ (Tate 1990, p.198). Jepsen also understands liberation and redemption as a gift of God’s grace, but does not make the distinction between secular and religious uses of פדה. He argues that the option of ransom for someone under the death penalty in Ex 21.30 is an example of grace: “*hier ist Lösung gleich Begnädigung*” (1957, p.157), cf. the collocation of פדה with הַנּוּן in Ps 26.11. W. Horbury [personal communication] suggested that it is not a matter of grace: God only withholds ransom payment because he does not fear retribution from Israel’s human enemies.

**A.5** פדה (qal/niph) ‘to (be) set free from guilt / condemnation’ is also found in the context of God *forgiving* the Israelites’ sins in an eschatological context (Isa 1.27; Ps 34.23; 130.8). In Ps 34.23, the idea of God liberating or saving the life of his servants is contrasted with condemnation and guilt (אשם). In Isa 1.27, Zion and those who turn back / repent (שוב) are the subjects of פדה niph, and the basis of liberation (פדה + בּ) is justice (משפט) and righteousness (צדקה), implying the forgiveness of sins. There are different views about whose justice and righteousness this means, God’s or Zion’s: a thorough review of the issues is provided by Williamson (2006, pp. 156-58, cf. 147). The question is complicated by the fact that the verb is

passive and the ‘redeemer’ is not explicitly identified. Interpretation of the  $\text{פ}$  here as a *beth pretii* (as in Ex 13.3; 34.20; Lv 27.27; Nu 18.6 after פדה) leads to the view that it is ‘because of’ Zion’s practice of justice and righteousness that she is redeemed and both the use of these words earlier in the chapter and the contrast with vv. 28-29 support it. Alternatively  $\text{פ}$  may mean ‘by means of’ (as with פדה in Neh 1.10 and probably Dt 9.26) and indicates that God acts in justice and righteousness, as often later in the book (including 4.4 and 5.16). Williamson favours the former view, but notes the importance of repentance in v. 27b and the probability that God is still seen as the redeemer. Ps 130.8 is one of the occurrences of פדה with  $\text{מִן}$  in a promise that God will redeem Israel from all her guilt/iniquities.

**A.6** פדה with  $\text{מִן}$  ‘to set free from enemies, slavery, illness, death’ (God as subject) occurs 17 times. In five of these instances, the context is the Exodus, thus the meaning seems to be ‘to set free’ from the enemy (Ps 78.42), the house of slavery (Dt 7.8; 13.6; Mic 6.4), the hand/grip of Pharaoh (Dt 7.8) and Egypt (Dt 24.18). פדה occurs in parallelism twice with צא Hiph (Dt 7.8; 13.6) and once with עלה Hiph (Mic 6.4), which confirms the meaning of ‘liberate’ or ‘rescue’ in פדה. The phrase  $\text{פָּדָה} + \text{מִן} + \text{כָּף} / \text{יָד}$  occurs frequently with פדה. Sometimes the oppressors are human (Dt 7.8; Jer 15.21; Ps 55.18; 119.134; Job 6.23; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 10.35; 4Q171 1-2ii18; 11Q19 54.16; 59.11) and elsewhere the power is illness or death (Hos 13.14; Ps 49.16; Job 5.20; 33.28; 1QH<sup>a</sup> 11.19). It is in this sense that the theophorous names פדי and פדיהו are understood (Stamm 1976, cols 401-02).

**A.7** פדה ‘to liberate from oppressing forces’ occurs several times with צר(ה), which seems to represent general adversity, both individual (2Sam 4.9; 1Kgs 1.29) and national (Ps 25.22). Jepsen notes that פדה seems to be used when people need liberating from ‘Bindungen’ (1957, pp. 154-57), from which they cannot escape themselves. But Stamm observes (1976, p.395) that Jepsen’s idea of ‘unsichtbare Bindungen’ is untenable in the light of Ex 21.8, 30; Lev 19.20; Dt 7.8, in which פדה is used to mean ‘Befreiung aus direkter und konkreter menschlicher Macht’ (Stamm 1976, col. 396). However, such a description would perhaps fit in the case of Ps 130.8, where פדה +  $\text{מִן}$  is used for liberation from iniquities.

**A.8** D.J. Reimer [personal communication] has drawn attention to the striking fact that, in addition to seven further occurrences in the Psalter, six psalms have forms of פדה in their final (25.22; 34.23; 44.27; 130.8) or penultimate (26.11; 71.23) verses. They include two cases where the psalm is acrostic and the verse in question stands outside the alphabetic pattern (25.22; 34.23): whether or not they are secondary additions, this certainly makes for a finale here in which a concern with ‘redemption’ is particularly prominent. In Ps 130 פדות also occurs in v. 7. In half the cases the verb expresses a request (25.22; 26.11; 44.27), in one a past rescue (71.23), in one a confident hope (130.8) and in one a general truth (34.23). The beneficiaries may be the psalmist(s) (26.11; 44.27; 71.23), ‘Israel’ (25.22; 130.8) or Yahweh’s ‘servants’ (34.23). Redemption is sought from troubles in general (25.22; צרות), sin and judgement (34.23; 130.8) or enemies (71.23). There is therefore some diversity of focus and situation in the use of the shared concept. Further research into this and into correlations with other uses of the root would be very worthwhile.

**A.9** Qumran has some unusual uses of פדה:

a) The qal act ptcp פודך ‘your redeemer’ appears as a title for God in 11Q5 22.15. Although this is a very common occurrence with other verbs in the semantic field such as ישע hiph and גאל, the active participle of פדה occurs only twice in the OT and never as a title.

b) In the Damascus Document (CD-A 16.8) פדה is used of an oath which cannot be ‘redeemed’, that is, it cannot be revoked or invalidated, even if the consequence (‘price’) is

death. This idea is not found in the OT usage of פדה. The opposite to this (CD-A 16.9) is קום hiph, ‘fulfil’. Given the connotations of פדה, a monetary payment in substitution might be involved (cf. B. Ned. 28a-8: Rabin 1954, p. 76).

c) פדה is also used at Qumran of a *future liberation*, of the Priest and his followers (4Q171 1-2 ii 18), the exiled Jews (11Q19 59.11-12) and ‘you’ with unclear reference (6Q59.1).

## 7. Conclusion

**A.1** The general sense of פדה seems to be very broad, namely, ‘to liberate’. Stamm argues that the ‘original’ meaning of פדה is found in the context of liberation and deliverance (supported by early texts: 2Sam 4.9; 1 Kgs 1.29; Hos 7.13; 13.14) and was only later adopted by socio-legal language. He suggests this by analogy with Akk *paṭāru* (1976, col. 397) which is also found in both legal and general contexts. However, the fact that the specific socio-legal and cultic uses of פדה and the emphasis on exchange or monetary ransom are not found when God is the subject (except Ps 49.8, see above), seems to support Jepsen’s argument for a general meaning ‘lösen’ underlying both uses.

**A.2** The socio-legal and cultic uses of פדה, however, are very specific, and they sometimes seem to overlap with גאל. The uses of פדה in these contexts seem to indicate that it means ‘to liberate by means of a ransom’ from either slavery or death. פדה occurs several times with פ in cultic contexts, which conveys the idea of giving something in exchange. The nouns פדוּיָם (ransom - ‘means of liberation’) and פְּדִיּוֹן (‘ransom price of human life’) bring out this meaning of giving something in exchange for freedom. Although the idea of payment is present in גאל (in non-theological uses), the emphasis on giving something of equivalent value seems to be particularly important to the meaning of פדה, perhaps because פדה has a distinctive use for the ransoming of first-born and for human life.

**A.3** פדה + פ in theological contexts emphasizes God’s power to redeem and deliver (e.g. Dt 9.26; Neh 1.10). The force of פדה (when God is the subject) is in the action of deliverance. This is confirmed by the noun פְּדוּת, which has the sense of ‘(power of) liberation’. The contexts for the theological uses of פדה are both individual and national deliverance from oppressing forces, from which they cannot escape themselves. In a few cases, פדה is also used in the context of liberation from guilt and condemnation.

**A.4** פדה occurs many times with the preposition מן + כף / יד, which emphasizes the nature of liberation as being a transfer away from an oppressing power, whether illness, death, human enemies or danger. This has parallels with several ‘salvation’ words נצל hiph, מלט pi, יצא hiph, and עלה hiph and (less often) גאל, which reinforce the sense of ‘deliverance’, particularly in the context of the Exodus.

## Bibliography

- Abegg, M., 2003. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Concordance*, E.J. Brill, Leiden.
- Beeston, A.F.L., 1982. *Sabaic Dictionary: English-French-Arabic*, Peeters, Leuven, and Librairie du Liban, Beirut.
- Benz, F.L., 1972. *Personal Names in the Phoenician and Punic Inscriptions* (Studia Pohl 8), Biblical Institute Press, Rome.
- Brown, F., Driver, S.R., & Briggs, C., 1907, rev. 1953. *Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament*, Oxford University Press, London.

- Cazelles, H., 2001. 'פִּדָה', *Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament*, Vol XI, eds. G.J. Botterweck & H. Ringgren, tr. D.E. Green, William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co.: Michigan, pp.483-490.
- Conti Rossini, C., 1931. *Chrestomathia Arabica Meridionalis Epigraphica edita et glossario instructa*, Instituto per l'Oriente, Rome.
- Craigie, P.C., 1984. *Psalms 1-50* (Word Biblical Commentary Vol 19), Word Books, Waco, Texas.
- Davies, G.I., 1991, 2004. *Ancient Hebrew Inscriptions: Corpus and Concordance*, CUP, Cambridge.
- Dhorme, E., 1967. *A Commentary on the Book of Job* (ET from Fr. ed. 1926), Nelson, London.
- Dillmann, C.F.A., 1865. *Lexicon Linguae Aethiopicae cum indice Latino*, Weigel, Leipzig.
- Driver, S.R. and Gray, G.B., 1921. *The Book of Job* (International Critical Commentary), T.&T. Clark, Edinburgh
- Garcia Martinez, F., and Tigchelaar, E., 1997-98. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Study Edition* (2 Vols), E.J. Brill, Leiden.
- Gitin, S., and Cogan, M., 1999. 'A New Type of Dedicatory Inscription from Ekron', *Israel Exploration Journal* 49, pp. 193-202.
- Gitin, S., Dotan, T., and Naveh, J., 1997. 'A Royal Dedicatory Inscription from Ekron', *Israel Exploration Journal* 47, pp. 1-16.
- Gray, A., 2016. 'פִּדָה', in *Theologisches Wörterbuch zu den Qumrantexten*, ed. H.-J. Fabry and U. Dahmen, Kohlhammer, Stuttgart, 3, pp. 259-63.
- Gray, G.B., 1903. *Numbers* (International Critical Commentary), T. & T. Clark, Edinburgh.
- Gunkel, H., 1926. *Die Psalmen* (HKAT), Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen.
- Hill, D., 1967. *Greek Words and Hebrew Meanings: Studies in the Semantics of Soteriological Terms* (Society for NT Studies Monograph Series, no.5), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hoftijzer, J. & Jongeling, K., 1995. *Dictionary of the North-West Semitic Inscriptions* (2 vols), Brill, Leiden.
- Hubbard, R.L., 1997. 'פִּדָה', *New International Dictionary of Old Testament Theology and Exegesis* Gen Ed. W.A. VanGemeren, Vol III, Paternoster Press, pp.578-582.
- Jepsen, A., 1957. 'Die Begriffe des „Erlösens“ im Alten Testament', in P. Althaus et al., *Solange es »Heute« heisst*, Festgabe für R. Hermann, Evangelische Verlagsanstalt, Berlin, pp. 153-163.
- Kraus, H.-J., 1978. *Psalmen* (Biblischer Kommentar), Neukirchener Verlag, Neukirchen.
- Lambert, W.G., 1959-60. 'Three Literary Prayers of the Babylonians', *Archiv für Orientforschung* 19, pp. 47-66.
- Liddell, H.G. & Scott, R., 1843, rev. 1940. *A Greek-English Lexicon*, Oxford University Press, London.
- Milgrom, J., 2001. *Leviticus 23-27* (The Anchor Bible Commentary Vol 3), Doubleday & Company, Inc., New York.
- Olmo Lete, G. del, and Sanmartin, J., 2004. *A Dictionary of the Ugaritic Language in the Alphabetic Tradition* (Handbuch der Orientalistik 1/67), E.J. Brill, Leiden and Boston.
- Rabin, C., 1954. *The Zadokite Documents*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Sawyer, J.F.A., 1972. *Semantics in Biblical Research: New Methods of Defining Hebrew Words for Salvation* (Studies in Biblical Theology Second Series 24), SCM Press, London.

- Smith, H.P., 1904. *The Books of Samuel* (International Critical Commentary), T. & T. Clark, Edinburgh.
- von Soden, W., 1965-81. *Akkadisches Handwörterbuch*, Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden.
- Sollamo, R., 1985. 'The LXX Renderings of the Infinitive Absolute Used with a Paronymous Finite Verb in the Pentateuch', in N. Fernández Marcos (ed.), *La Septuaginta en la investigación contemporánea (V Congreso de la IOSCS)* (Madrid), 101-113.
- Stamm, J.J., 1940. *Erlösen und Vergeben im Alten Testament*, A. Francke A-G. Verlag, Bern.
- Stamm, J.J., 1976. 'פדה', *Theologisches Handwörterbuch zum Alten Testament* (Band II), eds. E. Jenni & C. Westermann, Chr. Kaiser Verlag, München, cols 389-406.
- Stec, D.M., 1994. *The Text of the Targum of Job. An Introduction and Critical Edition* (AGAJU 20), E.J. Brill, Leiden and New York.
- Tate, M.E., 1990. *Psalms 51-100*, (Word Biblical Commentary Vol 20), Word Books, Waco, Texas
- Thackeray, H.St.J., 1908. 'Renderings of the Infinitive Absolute in the LXX', *Journal of Theological Studies* 9 (1908), 597-601.
- Williamson, H.G.M., 2006. *Isaiah 1-27: 1, Commentary on Isaiah 1-5* (International Critical Commentary), T. & T. Clark, London.
- Yaron, R., 1960. 'A Document of Redemption from Ugarit', *Vetus Testamentum* 10, pp. 83-90.

Alison Gray

Revised August 2007 and May 2012