

פְּלִיטָה

(For fuller discussion of the lexical field as a whole see the ‘Overview of SAHD entries for “Deliverance” words’ on this site)

Introduction

Grammatical Type: n f.

Occurrences: Total 28x OT, 0x Sir, 6x Qum (1QM 1.6, 1QS 4.14, 4Q280 2.5, 4Q374 fr2 2.4, CD 2.7, 11), 0x inscr.

Occurrences are “concentrated exclusively in narratives and prophets, notably exilic or postexilic ones” (Hubbard 1997:623). The lexeme occurs in 1QIsa^a in Is 4.2, 10.20, 15.9, 37.31 (written above the line). The first four letters of פְּלִיטָה in Jl 2.3 survive in 4QXII^g.

Text Doubtful:

A.1 פְּלִיטָה should be restored at 4QpIsa^c (4Q163) fr4-7 2.10 (= Is 10.20). See DJD (V:19) and Hubbard (1997:625).

A.2 In 1QIsa^a Is 37.32 פְּלִיטָה is written with final Aleph instead of He.

B.1 BHS suggests that לֹא-הָיְתָה לוֹ (Jl 2.3) may be an addition, but this is not semantically relevant.

Qere/Ketiv: none.

1. Root and Comparative Material

A.1 See פִּלַּט Qal.

A.2 Ben-Hayyim (1957:559) attests פְּלִיטָה in Samaritan Hebrew. The lexeme is also attested in RH, e.g. GenR 28.5 פְּלִיטָה (4x).

B.1 See פִּלַּט Qal.

2. Formal Characteristics

A.1 Hasel (1989:593 = 2001:555) defines פְּלִיטָה as a קְטִילָה formation. Zorell (650b-51a) lists פְּלִיטָה and פְּלִיטָה as separate though related lexemes. Zorell suggests that perhaps for פְּלִיטָה, פְּלִיטָה should be read. For this Zorell cites Olshausen (1861:§167g) who believed that most probably the word was once pronounced פְּלִיטָה, and that the present pointing is based on an altered pronunciation at a later stage (§180). Olshausen (§180) also cites as a less probable explanation that originally a Yodh, marking a diminutive, followed a short a in the second syllable. *ay* then merged to produce *ē*. Barth (1894:192d) rejects the existence of any diminutive of this form in Hebrew.

A.2 In MT the term is generally spelled plene (24x), and only more rarely defectively (Ex 10.5, Jr 50.29, Ezk 14.22, 1Ch 4.43).

B.1 [nil]

3. Syntagmatics

A.1 Subj הָיָה (2Sm 15.14, Jr 50.29, Jl 2.3, 3.5, Ob 17, 1QM 1.6), אָץ (2Kg 19.31, Is 37.32), אָבָד (Jr 25.35), יָסַר (Is 37.31par), יָסַר hiph (Is 10.20), יָתַר niph (Ezk 14.22).

A.2 Obj יָתַר hiph (CD 2.11), נָתַן (Ezr 9.13), שָׂאֵר hiph (Ezr 9.8).

A.3 Preceded by nomen regens תָּשֵׁבַע (Jdg 21.17), יָתַר (Ex 10.5), שָׁאֵרִית (1Ch 4.43).

A.4 Followed by nomen rectum בֵּית (2Kg 19.30, Is 10.20, 37.31), יָשָׁרָאֵל (Is 4.2), מוֹאָב (Is 15.9).

A.5 Followed by adj גָּדְלָהּ (Gn 45.7), הִנְשָׂאֵרְתָּ (Ex 10.5, Is 37.31, 2Kg 19.30, 2Ch 30.6).

B.1 [nil]

4. Versions

a. LXX:

σωτηρία (2Sm 15.14, Jr 25[32].35, Ob 17, Ezr 9.8 [with εἰς], 13, 2Ch 12.7);

τὸ σφύζεσθαι (Gn 32.9);

σφζόμενος (2Ch 20.24);

οἱ σφζόμενοι (Is 37.32);

οἱ σωθέντες (Is 10.20, Neh 1.2);

ἀνασφζόμενος (2Kg 19.31, Jr 50[27].29, Jl 2.3, 3.5[2.32]);

ἀνασεσφσμένοι (Ezk 14.22 [+ art.], 2Ch 30.6);

διασφζόμενον (Ezr 9.14);

διασφζόμενος (Dn 11.42);

διασφζόμενοι (Ezr 9.15);

διασεσφσμένη (Jdg 21.17) agrees with κληρονομία, taking פְּלִיט as adj.

τὸ διασεσφσμένον (2Kg 19.30);

κατάλειψις (Gn 45.7);

τὸ καταλειφθέν (Ex 10.5, Is 4.2);

οἱ καταλειφθέντες (1Ch 4.43);

οἱ καταλειμμένοι (= הִנְשָׂאֵרְתָּ ... תִּפְּלִיט Is 37.31);

[[τὸ σπέρμα]] (Is 15.9).

b. The Three:

Aq ἀνασωσμός (Gn 45.7);

Aq λείπον (Ex 10.5);

Sym διάφευξις (Jr 25.35);

Sym ἐκπεφυγότες (Ezk 14.22);

Thd σωτηρία (Is 37.32).

c. Pesh:

mtpl̄t̄ (Gn 32.9);

pwlt̄ (2Kg 19.31, Jr 25.35);

lmtpl̄tw (2Sm 15.14);

'nš dpl̄t̄ [peal] *mnhwn* (2Ch 20.24);

mšwzbwt̄ (Gn 45.7, Jl 3.5, Ob 17);

'št̄wzb (Is 37.32, Dn 11.42);

dmšt̄wzb (Jl 2.3);

'ylyn dmtpsyn (Is 10.20, 15.9, Ezk 14.22);
mdm dšbq (= הפְּלִטָּה הַנְּשֹׂאֶרֶת Ex 10.5);
mdm d'stħr (Is 4.2);
d'stħr (1Ch 4.43);
šrkn' (Jdg 21.17, Ezr 9.8, 13, 15, 2Ch 30.6);
šrk' (2Kg 19.30, Is 37.31);
ħrt' (Jr 50.29);
'ylyn dytrw (= אֲשֶׁר־נִשְׁאָרוּ Neh 1.2);
 paraphrase (Ezr 9.14);
 zero (2Ch 12.7).

d. Tg:

שיזבא (Gn 32.9 [TgO, TgPsJ, שיזבה TgNeo], 45.7 [TgO, TgPsJ], 2Sm 15.14, 2Kg
 19.31, Is 4.2, 10.20, 37.32, Jr 25.35, 50.29, Jl 2.3, Ob 17);
 שיזבא (2Ch 12.7);
 שיזבתא (Ex 10.5 [TgO], Jdg 21.17, Ezk 14.22, Jl 3.5, 1Ch 4.43);
 שיזבת (Is 15.9);
 משיזביא (2Kg 19.30, Is 37.31);
 שיזבותא (2Ch 20.24);
 משיזבתא (2Ch 30.6);
 פילטן (Gn 45.7 TgNeo);
 פליטתה (Ex 10.5 TgNeo, Samaritan Tg);
 פל[י]טה (Gn 32.9[8], 45.7 Samaritan Tg).

e. Vg:

salvor (Gn 32.8);
quod salvetur (2Kg 19.31);
qui salvaremur (Ezr 9.15);
hi qui salvati fuerint (Is 4.2);
id quod salvatum fuerit (Is 37.31);
salvatio (Is 37.32, Jr 25.35, Ezk 14.22, Jl 3.5, Ob 17);
salus (Ezr 9.13, 14);
hii qui fugerint (Is 10.20, 15.9);
qui effugiat (Jl 2.3);
effugiet (= תִּהְיֶה לְפָלִיטָה Dn 11.42);
effugium (2Sm 15.14);
reliquus (2Kg 19.30);
reliquiae (Ezr 9.8, 2Ch 30.6);
quod residuum fuit (= יֵתֵר הַפְּלִטָּה הַנְּשֹׂאֶרֶת Ex 10.5);
qui remanserant (Neh 1.2);
quae evadere potuerant (1Ch 4.43);
qui necem potuisset evadere (2Ch 20.24);
auxilium (2Ch 12.7);
 paraphrase (Gn 45.7, Jdg 21.17, Jr 42.17).

A.1 For the use in Greek of words such as σωτήρια, or σώζω and their derivatives see פלט piel Versions and Conclusion.

A.2 The versions more frequently render פְּלִיטָה by words connected with “rescue” or “save” than by words connected with “remainder”. Thus LXX σώζω/σωτηρία and compounds outnumber καταλείπω etc. In Pesh translations supporting these two meanings are more evenly balanced. Tg predominantly uses כּוּזַי; Vg uses *salvo* and cognates most, (*ef*)*fug** ‘flee’ words slightly less, and “remainder” words such as *reliquus*, *residuus*, and *remaneo* even less frequently.

A.3 A number of renderings make explicit the personal nature and plural nature of the group denoted by פְּלִיטָה, e.g. LXX οἱ σώζόμενοι; Sym ἐκπεφευγότες; Pesh ḡlyn *dm̄t̄psyn*; Tg מְשִׁיבֵי אֵי; Vg *hi qui salvati fuerint*. A contrary tendency is to render by an abstract noun, e.g. LXX, Thd σωτηρία; Tg אֲהָרְבֵי; Vg *salvatio*.

B.1 The use of τὸ σπέρμα by LXX at Is 15.9 is at first surprising, but it is a word that the translator introduces several times elsewhere when there is no obvious equivalent, e.g. for שְׂרִיד in 1.9. The latter substitution also appears in Dt 3.3, and Muraoka implies that there are several more cases (2002:518). Where σπέρμα is used of human descendants in a context of (non-)preservation, as in these three cases, it can be seen as representing the referent rather than the sense of the ‘survivor’ words.

B.2 Vg *auxilium* in 2Ch 12.7 occurs in a context where the Heb. already limits the extent of God’s intervention because of Judah’s sin (cf. מַעַט in v. 7 and the explanation in v. 8). ‘Escape’ must have seemed too strong a word to represent this and ‘help’ more appropriate to the outlook of the narrative.

5. Lexical/Semantic Field(s)

A.1 Hasel (1974:336, n. 444) observes the tendency for פְּלִיטָה to parallel the feminine שְׂאֲרֵי rather than masculine שְׂאָר, explaining the exception of Is 10.20 as “due to the stereotyped formula יִשְׂרָאֵל יִשְׂאָר. It is parallel with ש[א]רית (Gn 45.7, 2Kg 19.31, Is 15.9, 37.32, Ezr 9.14, 1QM 1.6, 1QS 4.14, 4Q280 2.5, 4Q374 fr2 2.4, CD 2.7), שְׂאָר (Is 10.20), מְנוּס (Jr 25.35), מְהִיָּה (Ezr 9.8). It also has contextual connections with הִיֵּה hiph (Gn 45.7), מִלֵּט niph (Jl 3.5), שְׂאָר niph (Gn 32.9, Ezr 9.15, Neh 1.2), שְׂרִידִים (Jl 3.5).

A.2 For a discussion of the semantic field of lexemes of the root פלט, and for a contrast of these lexemes with those of the root מלט see Lexical/Semantic Field(s) of פלט piel.

B.1 [nil]

6. Exegesis

A.1 פְּלִיטָה is a term used for the “remnant” of Israel. This has received considerable attention from a theological rather than a semantic angle (De Vaux 1933, Hertrich 1942, Schilling 1942, Warne 1958, Müller & Preuß 1973, Hasel 1976, 1980, Hausmann 1987).

A.2 Generally פְּלִיטָה denotes a group of people, but in a few cases it seems to be abstract, e.g. “Flight (מְנוּס) shall fail the shepherds, and there shall be no escape (פְּלִיטָה) for the lords of the flock” (Jr 25.35 NRSV). 2Sm 15.14 may also be abstract. In Ex 10.5

פְּלִיטָה denotes vegetation. The potential for the lexeme to be both concrete and abstract is widely recognised. Zorell (650b-51a) understands פְּלִיטָה as “id quod saluum evasit”, and פְּלִיטָה as “evasio, salvatio” and “id quod perniciem evasit”; Alonso Schökel (584b) takes פְּלִיטָה as “resto, supervivientes” or “huída, escape, escapatoria, evasión”; Müller (Müller & Preuß 1973:82) as “die Entronnenschaft”.

A.3 From a consideration of Gn 45.7 it is not evident that for there to be a פְּלִיטָה, there has to be any of the original group who do not survive. This suggests that the terms “remainder”, “remnant” or “survivors” (with their implication of some who did not survive) are not always appropriate equivalents of פְּלִיטָה, though frequently they do carry appropriate overtones. However, BDB (812b) understands this as abstract “escape, deliverance”.

A.4 In discussing the use of פְּלִיטָה in Is 4.2 Williamson notes that military defeat is the most frequently attested kind of disaster that generates occurrences of the verb פָּלַט and nouns derived from it, but that in the post-exilic period פְּלִיטָה became associated with the theological notion of a ‘remnant’ and this is likely to be an element of the meaning in Is 4.2. Moreover, in Jl 3.5 and Ob 17 it refers to ‘those who escape an entirely eschatological judgment of God without reference to human agents’ (Williamson 2006:310; cf. Hausmann 1987:200).

A.5 פְּלִיטָה is always sing.

B.1 [nil]

7. Conclusion

A.1 As seen from the versions, פְּלִיטָה was more often understood as “saved thing” than as “remainder”, which was usually represented by שְׁאָרִית. The emphasis on “save” rather than on “remain” also reflects the general meaning of other lexemes from the root פָּלַט. Generally the term is concrete rather than abstract, though some exceptions have been noted (see Exegesis A.2). The term may often be understood as “what escaped”. Implied in words such as “escape” or “save” is the notion of a threat avoided, a notion which is absent from the word “remain”, yet present in פְּלִיטָה. As discussed in Exegesis A.3 “remainder” and “remnant” may be inappropriate renderings due to their implication that some of the original group did not survive.

A.2 The occurrence of גְּדֻלָּה (Gn 45.7) as a qualifying adjective, unless an oxymoron, precludes any possibility that a diminutive sense was felt for the word in Hebrew (see Formal Characteristics for discussion of diminutive). A פְּלִיטָה need not be small.

B.1 [nil]

Bibliography

- Barth, J. 1894. *Die Nominalbildung in den semitischen Sprachen*. Leipzig.
Ben-Hayyim, Z. 1957. *Literary and Oral Tradition of Hebrew and Aramaic Amongst the Samaritans*. Vol. 2. Jerusalem.
De Vaux, R. 1933. Le “reste d’Israël” d’après les prophètes. *RB* 42:526-39.
Hasel, G.F. 1976. Article “Remnant” in *Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible, Supplementary Volume*:735-36.

- _____. ²1974, ³1980. *The Remnant: The History and Theology of the Remnant Idea from Genesis to Isaiah*. Andrews University Monographs. Studies in Religion 5. Berrien Springs.
- _____. 1989. Article טִלְפָּ in *TWAT* VI:589-606 = *TDOT* XI:551-67 (2001).
- Hausmann, J. 1987. *Israels Rest. Studien zum Selbstverständnis der nachexilischen Gemeinde*. BWANT 124. Stuttgart.
- Hertrich, V. 1942. Article λείμμα etc. B. “Der ‘Rest’ im AT” in *TWNT* IV:200-15.
- Hubbard, R.L. 1997. Article 7117 טִלְפָּ in *New International Dictionary of Old Testament Theology and Exegesis* 3, Carlisle:621-26.
- Jenni, E. 1968. *Das hebräische Piel*. Zürich.
- Milik, J.T. 1972. Milkî-sedeq et Milkî-resha’ dans les anciens écrits juifs et chrétiens. *JJS* 23:95-144.
- Müller, W.E. & H.D. Preuß. ²1973. *Die Vorstellung vom Rest im Alten Testament*. Neukirchen.
- Muraoka, T. 2002. *A Greek-English Lexicon of the Septuagint. Chiefly of the Pentateuch and the Twelve Prophets*. Louvain.
- Olshausen, J. 1861. *Lehrbuch der hebräischen Sprache*. Braunschweig.
- Schilling, O. 1942. “Rest” in der Prophetie des Alten Testaments. Unpublished Inaugural Dissertation, University of Münster.
- Warne, D.M. 1958. *The Origin, Development and Significance of the Concept of the Remnant in the Old Testament*. Dissertation Edinburgh.
- Williamson, H.G.M. 2006. *Isaiah 1-27*. 1. *Commentary on Isaiah 1-5*. London and New York.

P.J. Williams

First printed 27 October 1998